



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09528884 4/25/2000 UWE SONNFELD VOS-12 CAN

EXAMINER

C. COLLINS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1638

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CAROL COLLINS (3) JANE SONNFELD SEC
(2) PHILIP-LSUI (4) LI SU L.S.

Date of Interview

3/20/2002

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: ALL

Identification of prior art discussed: RANDEZ-GIL, HERRERA-ESTRELLA
ZEMEK I & II (REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 103)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DROPPING WRITTEN

DESCRIPTION + SCOPE OF ENABLEMENT DUE TO REPRESENTATIVE NUMBER
OF SPECIES OF SEQUENCES AVAILABLE FROM ART; OBVIOUSNESS OF METHOD
VERSUS PRODUCT CLAIMS MAY DIFFER; DISCUSSED POSSIBLE POINTS OF
UNPREDICTABILITY OF USING SYSTEM IMPLANTS VERSUS YEAST; DROP 103
FOR ~~METHOD~~ CLAIMS, BUT PRODUCT CLAIMS MAY STILL BE DEVICES

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.



Response Under 37 CFR 1.116
Expedited Procedure
Examining Group 1638

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No. VOS-12 CON

Applicants : Uwe Sonnewald and Marcus Ebneith
Application No.: 09/558,284 Confirmation No.: 8936
Filed : April 25, 2000
For : 2-DEOXYGLUCOSE-6-PHOSPHATE (2-DOG-6-P)
PHOSPHATASE DNA SEQUENCES AS SELECTION
MARKER IN PLANTS
Group : 1638
Examiner : Cynthia E. Collins

New York, New York
May 20, 2003

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Arlington, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), applicants hereby petition for a three-month extension of time to respond to the November 20, 2002 Office Action. With the extension, a reply is due on or before May 20, 2003. A check in the amount of \$930.00, in payment of the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(3), is enclosed herewith.

EI125462205US

The Director is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be due, or to credit overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this Petition is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,



Jane T. Gunnison (Reg. No. 38,479)
Attorney for Applicants
Li Su (Reg. No. 45,141)
Agent for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1104
Tel.: (212) 596-9000
Fax.: (212) 596-9090